

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

J.K.J.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 15-CV-428

POLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,  
DARRYL L. CHRISTENSEN,

Defendant.

---

**ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

---

Defendant Polk County Sheriff's Department ("Polk County"), by its attorneys Whyte Hirschboeck Dudek S.C., answers the Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. Polk County admits Plaintiff seeks money damages for alleged personal injuries; the remaining allegations of paragraph 1 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent the remaining allegations of paragraph 1 are deemed to assert factual allegations, Polk County denies those allegations.

2. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 2.
3. Plaintiff's demand for a jury trial requires no response.

**PARTIES**

4. Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations of paragraph 4, and that on that basis denies them.

5. Polk County admits Defendant Darryl L. Christensen is a citizen of the United States and was, at certain times, a resident of the State of Wisconsin and employed at the Polk

County Jail; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 5, and on that basis denies them.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Polk County admits that during the month of August 2012, the Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Polk County Jail; admits the criminal complaint attached to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against Defendant Christensen, affirmatively alleges the criminal complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegations inconsistent therewith; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 6, and on that basis denies them.

7. Polk County admits that during the month of August 2012, the Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Polk County Jail; admits the criminal complaint attached to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against Defendant Christensen, affirmatively alleges the criminal complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegations inconsistent therewith; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 7, and on that basis denies them.

8. Polk County admits that Plaintiff was incarcerated between March 2013 and June 2013 at the Polk County Jail; admits the criminal complaint attached to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against Defendant Christensen, affirmatively alleges the criminal complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegations inconsistent therewith; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 8, and on that basis denies them.

9. Polk County admits that Plaintiff was incarcerated between February 18 and June 4, 2014 at the Polk County Jail; admits the criminal complaint attached to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against Defendant Christensen, affirmatively alleges the criminal complaint speaks for

itself, and denies any allegations inconsistent therewith; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 9, and on that basis denies them.

10. Polk County admits that Plaintiff was incarcerated in October 2014 at the Polk County Jail; admits the criminal complaint attached to Plaintiff's Complaint was filed against Defendant Christensen, affirmatively alleges the criminal complaint speaks for itself, and denies any allegations inconsistent therewith; Polk County lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations of paragraph 10, and on that basis denies them.

11. Polk County admits Defendant Christensen was employed at the Polk County Jail while Plaintiff was incarcerated there; denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 11.

**COUNT ONE**

**VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – SEXUAL ASSAULT**

12. Polk County repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 11 as its answer to paragraph 12.

13. The allegations of paragraph 13 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 13.

14. The allegations of paragraph 14 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 14.

15. The allegations of paragraph 15 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 15.

16. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 16.

17. The allegations of paragraph 17 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 17.

18. The allegations of paragraph 18 state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 18.

**COUNT TWO**

**2<sup>ND</sup> DEGREE SEXUAL ASSAULT: SEXUAL CONTACT OR  
INTERCOURSE BY A CORRECTIONAL STAFF MEMBER**

19. Polk County repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 18 as its answer to paragraph 19.

20. The allegations of paragraph 20 state the legal conclusion to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 20.

21. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 21.

22. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 22.

**COUNT THREE**

**VICARIOUS LIABILITY**

23. Polk County repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 22 as its answer to paragraph 23.

24. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 24.

25. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 25.

26. Polk County denies the allegations of paragraph 26.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Polk County Sheriff's Department denies it is liable to the Plaintiff in any amount whatsoever and respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice and awarding Polk County its costs, attorney's fees, and disbursements incurred in the defense of this matter.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

For its affirmative defenses, Polk County Sheriff's Department states as follows:

1. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief may be granted.
2. Polk County at all times acted in good faith and is entitled to qualified immunity.
3. Plaintiff's claims may be barred in whole or in part by any failure on the part of

Plaintiff to comply with the notice and claim provisions of Wis. Stat. § 893.80(1).

4. Plaintiff's claims may be subject to the damage caps set forth in Wis. Stat. § 893.80(3).
5. Polk County may be immune from suit with respect to any claims by Plaintiff under Wisconsin law pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 893.80(4).
6. Plaintiff may have failed to mitigate her alleged damages.
7. To the extent Plaintiff's damages, if any, may have been caused in whole or in part by the alleged criminal conduct of Defendant Darryl L. Christenson, such criminal conduct is a superseding and/or intervening cause which cannot be attributed to Polk County.

Dated this 24th day of September, 2015.

WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C.

s/Paul D. Cranley

---

Paul D. Cranley  
State Bar No. 1050029  
P.O. Box 1379  
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1379  
Telephone: 608-255-4440  
Fax: 608-258-7138  
Email: pcranley@whdlaw.com

Charles H. Bohl  
State Bar No. 1000239  
Andrew A. Jones  
State Bar No. 1023074  
555 East Wells Street, Suite 1900  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-3819  
414-273-2100  
414-223-5000 (fax)  
cbohl@whdlaw.com

Attorneys for Polk County Sheriff's Department

WHD/11819173.1